Fluorescent DNA Nanotags: Supramolecular Fluorescent Labels Based on Intercalating Dye Arrays Assembled on Nanostructured DNA Templates
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Abstract: Fluorescence detection and imaging are vital technologies in the life sciences and clinical diagnostics. The key to obtaining high-resolution images and sensitive detection is to use fluorescent molecules or particles that absorb and emit visible light with high efficiency. We have synthesized supramolecular complexes consisting of a branched DNA template and fluorogenic intercalating dyes. Because dyes can intercalate up to every other base pair, high densities of fluorophores are assembled yet the DNA template keeps them far enough away from each other to prevent self-quenching. The efficiency with which these noncovalent assemblies absorb light is more than 10-fold greater than that of the individual dye molecules. Förster resonance energy transfer from the intercalated dyes to covalently attached acceptor dyes is very efficient, allowing for wavelength shifting of the emission spectrum. Simple biotinylation of the DNA template allows for labeling of streptavidin-coated synthetic microspheres and mouse T-cells.

Introduction

Multichromophore arrays consist of collections of dye molecules arranged into precise supra- and/or macromolecular structures. Nature uses multichromophore arrays to improve the efficiency and wavelength sensitivity of photosynthetic systems, while scientists and engineers are developing synthetic arrays for applications in photonics, solar energy conversion, and fluorescence imaging and detection. These assemblies provide two significant advantages over individual chromophores. First, arrays absorb light much more efficiently than single molecules because in principle the extinction coefficient of the array is two significant advantages over individual chromophores. First, two significant advantages over individual chromophores. First, arrays absorb light much more efficiently than single molecules because in principle the extinction coefficient of the array is greater than that of the individual dye molecules. Förster resonance energy transfer from the intercalated dyes to covalently attached acceptor dyes is very efficient, allowing for wavelength shifting of the emission spectrum. Simple biotinylation of the DNA template allows for labeling of streptavidin-coated synthetic microspheres and mouse T-cells.

systems, the fluorescence quantum yield can decrease at high labeling densities, resulting in a deterioration of performance. While many fluorescent dyes exhibit self-quenching behavior, notable exceptions include aromatic hydrocarbon dyes that form fluorescent excimers or exciplexes. For example, attachment of such fluorophores to adjacent positions on a DNA scaffold through either chemical or enzymatic synthesis results in fluorescent exciplexes. Moreover, libraries of DNA-conjugated polyfluors having a broad range of colors can be synthesized combinatorially. Nevertheless, most dyes do not form fluorescent excimers or exciplexes, so strategies that allow assembly of multichromophore arrays where the dyes are not in contact with one another continue to be actively pursued.

Synthetic approaches to multichromophore arrays can employ covalent or noncovalent attachment of the dyes to the material that acts as a scaffold or matrix for the assembly. Covalent structures rely on scaffolds that fix the dyes’ positions with varying degrees of precision. Dendritic polymers, nucleic acid nanostructures, rigid hydrocarbons, and even viral...
particles have been used in various arrays. A scaffold also plays a key role in natural systems. The light-harvesting antenna complexes that improve the efficiency and action spectrum of photosynthesis in plants and bacteria are natural multichromophore arrays. The phycobiliproteins found in the light-harvesting complexes of plants, bacteria, and algae feature dozens of linear tetrapyrrole dyes covalently attached to specific cysteine side chains in the protein. Although there is a high density of dyes within the protein structure, there is sufficient protein matrix separating individual dyes to avoid self-quenching. Phycobiliproteins can have extinction coefficients and fluorescence quantum yields in excess of $10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 0.80, respectively, although their large size can be problematic in some applications.

Noncovalent approaches for assembling multichromophore arrays have typically involved materials that lack well-defined binding sites for the fluorescent dyes. For example, dyes have been embedded in organic polymer hosts to create fluorescent microspheres that are widely used in bioimaging and detection technology. Alternatively, a variety of fluorescent dyes have been loaded into inorganic zeolite structures and silica nanoparticles with varying degrees of organization. Helical arrays of dyes also have been assembled using nucleic acid and polypeptide templates. Supramolecular arrays also can be prepared in the absence of a template by relying on self-assembly of the molecular components. While noncovalent assemblies generally lack the structural precision offered by covalent structures, the ease of synthesis is appealing.

Our goal was to reproduce the basic design evolved by nature for the phycobiliproteins, namely embedding many fluorescent dye molecules within a biopolymer matrix in a manner that inhibits self-quenching but facilitates energy migration and transfer reactions. In the systems reported here, the protein matrix is replaced by a branched double-helical DNA nanostructure and the dyes are bound noncovalently to the DNA by intercalation between base pairs. The resulting self-assembled multichromophore arrays exhibit high effective extinction coefficients and efficient energy transfer between co-intercalated dyes or from intercalated dyes to covalently attached acceptor fluorophores. These assemblies can be used as bright fluorescent labels for microscope imaging and flow cytometry experiments.

**Results**

**Design of Fluorescent DNA Nanostructures.** In our design, DNA nanostructures serve as a template for organizing and concentrating multiple fluorescent dye molecules in a very small region of space while also preventing the dyes from quenching one another (Figure 1). This is accomplished by using intercalating dyes, which bind between adjacent base pairs in the DNA helix. Steric constraints prevent multiple dyes from binding at the same intercalation site. In fact, according to the neighbor exclusion principle, saturation of the helix occurs when dyes are intercalated at every other base pair, meaning adjacent dye molecules are separated by approximately 7 Å. In addition to serving as a template for assembly of the fluorescent dye array, the DNA can be functionalized with groups that can serve as Förster energy acceptors as well as with reactive groups or recognition elements that allow attachment to other molecules or surfaces.

**Branched DNA nanostructures** serve as the template for assembly of the intercalator arrays (Figure 1). The amount of
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**Figure 1.** Fluorescent “nanotags” based on linear and branched DNA templates and intercalating dyes. Self-quenching is avoided by confining the dyes within separate intercalation sites. 2WJ = 2-way junction, 3WJ = 3-way junction, etc.
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light absorbed by a single DNA “nanotag” is determined solely by the number of intercalated dyes. This number is in turn determined by the number of base pairs in the DNA template. Thus, the extinction coefficient of a nanotag can be increased simply by using longer and/or more highly branched DNA templates (Figure 1).30 For most of the experiments described here, the template was a three-way junction (3WJ) consisting of three 10 base pair arms,31 meaning up to 15 intercalating chromophores can be bound to each DNA nanostructure.

The intercalating dyes used for these experiments are based on the oxazole yellow chromophore (Chart 1).32 YO–PRO-1 and YOYO-1 are classified respectively as mono- and bis-intercalators33 and YO–PRO-1 exhibits moderate affinity for double-stranded DNA34 (Kd = ca. 106 M−1). The binding constant for the bisintercalator has not been reported, but a similar dimeric version of the monointercalator ethidium exhibits ca. 1000-fold higher affinity35 than its monomeric counterpart, implying that YOYO-1 should bind with Kd of at least 109 M−1. The dyes also have large extinction coefficients (εmax), 52 000 M−1 cm−1 for YO–PRO-1 and 98 900 M−1 cm−1 for YOYO-1 in DNA, both at 491 nm. Organic dyes often form aggregates in which the extinction coefficient is lower than expected due to pi stacking, but the DNA templates will prevent this because each dye will bind to a distinct intercalation site. Moreover, the twist of the DNA helix will cause the transition moments of successive dyes to be misaligned. Therefore, minimal perturbation of the extinction coefficient is to be expected, and a DNA 3WJ with 15 YO–PRO-1 molecules should have an effective εmax ≈ 780 000 M−1 cm−1. Moreover, these dyes have little to no intrinsic fluorescence when free in solution but show strong fluorescence when bound to DNA (quantum yield φf = 0.44 for YO–PRO-1 and 0.52 for YOYO-1).36 Overall, the extinction coefficients and fluorescence quantum yields for the DNA nanotags will be comparable to widely used phycobiliproteins while having approximately one-tenth the molecular weight.

Assembly and Characterization of DNA 3WJ Nanotags. The sequences of the three DNA 20mers used to assemble the 3WJ were taken from the literature and are given in the Methods. UV melting curves of the 3WJ alone and in the presence of saturating amounts of YO–PRO-1 or YOYO-1 are shown in Figure 2. Heating of the DNA 3WJ causes denaturation at a characteristic melting temperature (Tm) because of disruption of the hydrogen bonds and the pi stacking interactions that hold the strands together. The 3WJ dissociates to the individual single strands at Tm = 45 °C. The Tm increases by 11 and 29 °C in the presence of the mono- and bis-intercalating dyes, respectively. The dye-enhanced stability of the 3WJ is due to the higher affinities of the dyes for duplex versus single-stranded DNA.37 YOYO-1 provides greater stabilization than YO–PRO-1 because it has an additional intercalating group and two extra positive charges.

The central idea of our design is that the DNA acts as a matrix for assembling the intercalating dyes into fluorescent arrays. A common feature of organic dyes is a tendency to aggregate in aqueous solution,38 which usually leads to self-quenching of fluorescence. However, as shown in Figure 3, the fluorescence increases monotonically for both YO–PRO-1 and YOYO-1 as they are titrated into a solution containing the DNA 3WJ. (The ratio of dye/DNA is expressed in terms of intercalator concentrations with YOYO-1 having two intercalating groups per molecule.) In both cases, the fluorescence saturates at approximately 0.5 intercalators/base pair in accord with neighbor exclusion. The quenching observed for YOYO-1 at ratios in excess of 0.65 is likely the result of alternative, nonintercalative binding that can occur at relatively high loading.39 Nevertheless, working at a ratio of 0.6 or lower yields highly fluorescent DNA-templated dye arrays.

Use of Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) for Wavelength Shifting. In the phycobiliproteins, multiple chro-
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(37) Björndal, M. T.; Sgouros, A. D. Biopolymers 2002, 65, 40–44.
mophore types are present, allowing one group of chromophores to absorb higher energy light and transfer the excitation energy to another group of chromophores by a dipole–dipole coupling mechanism known as FRET. The emission spectrum of a phycobiliprotein also can be shifted to longer wavelengths by covalent attachment of a dye molecule that acts as a FRET acceptor. For example, phycoerythrin (PE) fluoresces at 575 nm but attachment of Texas Red (TR) leads to emission at 615 nm. This is useful for imaging applications because two distinct populations of objects (e.g., cells or microspheres) can be detected with the same excitation wavelength if one population is labeled with PE while the other is labeled with the PE–TR conjugate. We sought to incorporate this capability into the DNA nanotags.

Two strategies for utilizing FRET in the DNA nanotags are illustrated in Figure 4. First, energy donor and acceptor intercalator dyes can be co-intercalated into the same DNA (Figure 4A). The wide variety of intercalating dyes with absorption and emission spectra spanning the visible region facilitates this strategy. In addition, the short distance separating a given acceptor dye from the closest donor (ca. 7 Å) in the co-intercalation format illustrated in Figure 4A should allow efficient FRET to occur for dyes that have moderate or even poor overlap between the donor emission and acceptor absorption spectra.

Figure 5A illustrates FRET in a 3WJ system in which YO–PRO-1 (donor) and TO–PRO-3 (acceptor) are co-intercalated. (Compared with YO–PRO-1, TO–PRO-3 has a sulfur instead of an oxygen and a trimethine instead of a monomethine bridge, Chart 1.) This pair has a calculated R0 (i.e., distance where FRET efficiency is 50%) of 40 Å. Emission from YO–PRO-1 is quenched by 37% and replaced by TO–PRO-3 emission even when only 6.7 mol % of the acceptor (14:1) is co-intercalated. Further substitution of acceptor for donor results in greater quenching: 53% and 67% quenching for 13.3 mol % and 20 mol % TO–PRO-3, respectively. However, the sensitized emission from TO–PRO-3 at 660 nm does not increase as the donor quenching increases.

On the basis of the extent of donor quenching at each TO–PRO-3 concentration shown in Figure 5A, spectra were calculated for the expected FRET efficiency. These show the expected TO–PRO-3 emission increases at 660 nm correlating with quenching of the donor at 510 nm (Figure 5B). The lack of enhanced emission from the acceptor could be due to (a) quenching of YO–PRO-1 by a non-FRET pathway, such as electron transfer and/or (b) self-quenching of TO–PRO-3 at higher loadings. Direct excitation of TO–PRO-3 in the 14:1, 13:2, and 12:3 samples results in progressively lower fluorescence even though the TO–PRO-3 concentration increases (Supporting Information, Figure S1), favoring explanation (b). Petty and co-workers reported that TO–PRO-3 can bind to DNA by both intercalative and nonintercalative modes, so the lack
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of enhanced long wavelength emission in Figure 5A could be due to self-quenching of the intercalated acceptors by non-intercalated dyes.

While efficient FRET can occur within the DNA template, addition of each acceptor dye requires removal of one donor dye, thereby reducing the effective $e$ for the assembly. An alternative strategy, illustrated in Figure 4B, involves covalent attachment of energy acceptor dyes at the 3WJ termini. In addition to allowing full loading by the light-absorbing intercalator dye, this approach allows use of virtually any fluorescent acceptor dye, many of which have higher quantum yields than the unsymmetrical cyanines. For example, Figure 6 shows efficient FRET from intercalated YOYO-1 dyes to covalently attached Cy3 acceptors. The FRET efficiency is ca. 95% (based on quenching of YOYO-1 donors and sensitized emission of Cy3 acceptors). Samples were excited at 440 nm.

The concept can be extended further by replacing Cy3 with the longer wavelength absorbing “WellRed D2” as the energy acceptor. This dye absorbs maximally at 750 nm, meaning there is very little spectral overlap with the emission of YOYO-1 (Figure 7A). The calculated $R_0$ value for this pair is only 20 Å, yet a FRET efficiency of 90% is observed when three WellRed D2 acceptors are attached to the 3WJ termini (Figure 7B). The wavelength shift due to this FRET process is greater than 250 nm.

**Fluorescent DNA Nanotags for Flow Cytometry Applications.** Flow cytometry is an efficient method for sorting different populations of micron-sized objects such as cells or synthetic particles, leading to increased applications in biodetection assays. Fluorescent labels on these objects allow detection, and discrimination can be achieved based on intensity and/or wavelength. We performed a series of flow cytometry experiments to illustrate the utility of DNA nanotags for these applications.

As a proof of concept, streptavidin-coated polystyrene microspheres were combined with 3WJ assemblies functionalized with a single biotin group. A variety of experiments were performed comparing mono- and bisintercalating dyes, as well as using zero, one, or two terminal Cy3 labels to study FRET. The commonly used 488 nm argon ion laser line is particularly useful for exciting the labels because it is very close to the absorbance maximum for the intercalator dyes (491 nm) but gives very little direct excitation of the Cy3 acceptor dyes. Two different detectors were used to monitor the fluorescence: the “donor” channel collects fluorescence in the green region, where the intercalator dyes emit, while the “acceptor” channel collects fluorescence in the orange region, where the Cy3 emits. However, the emission spectrum of the intercalator is sufficiently broad (Figures 6 and 7A) to allow detection in both channels.

Beads labeled with biotinylated 3WJ assemblies but no intercalating dyes exhibited very low mean fluorescence intensities (peak “A” in Figure 8), similar to control beads that lacked 3WJs. Addition of the monointercalator YO−PRO-1 failed to...
significantly increase the fluorescence intensity above background levels (data not shown). This is most likely because the binding constant ($K_b$) of YO-PRO-1 for duplex DNA is ca. $10^6$ M$^{-1}$. Under the conditions of the flow cytometry experiment, submicromolar concentrations of the DNA were present meaning YO-PRO-1 likely dissociated from the DNA. In contrast, the bisintercalator YOYO-1 should remain intercalated even at nanomolar DNA concentrations. This is verified by the data shown in Figure 8a, where addition of the bisintercalator increased the mean fluorescence intensity of the donor channel due to efficient FRET (left). A corresponding increase in fluorescence was observed in the acceptor channel due to sensitized emission from the Cy3 labels (right).

Evidence of energy transfer was observed in both the donor and acceptor channels when Cy3 labels were attached to the 3WJ. Quenching of YOYO-1 fluorescence is revealed as a decreasing intensity in the donor channel (Figure 8a) with a corresponding increase in intensity in the acceptor channel (Figure 8b). The efficiency of energy transfer can be estimated from the decreased intensities observed in the donor channel and gives values of 62% and 71% for one and two Cy3 labels, respectively. The lower FRET efficiencies compared to the bulk solution results given above are likely due to having somewhat less bound YOYO-1 at the lower concentrations for flow cytometry. However, in contrast to the monointercalating dye, there is still sufficient intercalated YOYO-1 to permit the microspheres to be easily detected with 2 orders of magnitude brighter fluorescence relative to unlabeled beads. Using DNA junctions with more and/or longer arms should yield even brighter fluorescent labels.

The results shown in Figure 8 indicate that two different populations of beads should be readily distinguished based on whether or not the 3WJ is labeled with Cy3. Figure 9 illustrates an experiment in which two separate populations of beads were
ties that match well with the expected values based on the fluorescein group, the various branched templates yield intensification-enhanced fluorescence relative to a 3WJ labeled with a single 10). Although the linear 2WJ template yields only modestly with the number of base pairs in the DNA template (Figure 10). Fluorescence intensities for microspheres labeled with the four microspheres (beads) and then were analyzed by flow cytometry. Nanotags were mixed with streptavidin-coated polystyrene linking the two hubs of the 3WJs. (These structures are shown schematically in Figure 1.) Biotinylated versions of these nanotag and YOYO-1 dye concentrations that equaled one dye per 4 DNA base pairs. Sample labeled 3WJ + Fluorescein did not have any YOYO-1, but instead had one covalently attached 5′-fluorescein label. Nanotag structural schematics are shown in Figure 1. labeled with a YOYO-1-loaded 3WJ having zero or two Cy3 labels. The beads were mixed and then analyzed by flow cytometry using the donor channel to detect YOYO-1 emission. As shown in the Figure 9, baseline resolution of the two bead populations is achieved.

A key element in the design of our nanotags is the use of nanostructured DNA as a matrix for the assembly of fluorescent intercalator arrays. If more base pairs are added to the DNA template, then more dyes can be accommodated and the fluorescence should become brighter. To test this prediction, we designed four different DNA nanotags: a linear 20 base pair (bp) duplex (i.e., effectively a 2WJ), a 3WJ having three 10 bp arms, a 4WJ having four 10 bp arms, and a bis-3WJ consisting of four 10 bp arms and a central 20 bp segment linking the two hubs of the 3WJs. (These structures are shown schematically in Figure 1.) Biotinylated versions of these nanotags were mixed with streptavidin-coated polystyrene microspheres (beads) and then were analyzed by flow cytometry. Fluorescence intensities for microspheres labeled with the four different DNA nanotags clearly reveal the increase in intensity with the number of base pairs in the DNA template (Figure 10). Although the linear 2WJ template yields only modestly enhanced fluorescence relative to a 3WJ labeled with a single fluorescein group, the various branched templates yield intensities that match well with the expected values based on the maximum number of intercalated dyes: 15, 20, and 30 chromophores for the 3WJ, 4WJ, and bis3WJ, respectively.

**Labeling of Mammalian Cell Membranes Using DNA Nanotags.** Immunophenotyping involves staining of cells with antibodies or other specific ligands that are either directly or indirectly labeled with fluorescent dyes and is typically used for characterizing individual cells according to their expression of different surface molecules. We wanted to compare our DNA nanotags to phycoerythrin (PE) reagents conventionally used in fluorescent immunophenotyping assays. Phycoerythrin has an emission maximum in the same region as Cy3 and can therefore be compared to DNA nanotags that have terminal Cy3 acceptor molecules. The general staining procedure for both the 3WJ assembly and PE begins with a biotinylated anti-CD3 antibody that was used to stain all CD3 + mouse T-cells. The cells then were stained either with a streptavidin-PE conjugate or with free streptavidin followed by the biotinylated 3WJ nanotag loaded with YOYO-1 and labeled with 2 Cy3 acceptor dyes.

Confocal microscope images are shown in Figure 11 and illustrate that the 3WJ nanotags stain the mouse T-cells in a pattern similar to what is observed with a PE label, namely clusters of bright spots are observed around the periphery of the cells, as expected for CD3-specific labeling. However, the 3WJ image also includes a very bright object in the lower left quadrant. This object is most likely a dead cell because forward scatter versus side scatter dot plots obtained by flow cytometry indicate the presence of both dead and live cells in the preparation (data not shown). Live cells are impermeant to the tetracationic YOYO-1, but dead cells have compromised cell membranes, so dye molecules that dissociate from the 3WJ can enter these cells and readily stain endogenous nucleic acids. (In fact, unsymmetrical cyanine dyes are marketed for this very application.) In contrast, the PE is covalently attached to the streptavidin, making dissociation virtually impossible.

**Discussion**

The DNA-templated intercalator arrays (i.e., nanotags) reported here offer (1) ease of assembly, (2) flexibility in choice of excitation and emission wavelengths, and (3) very high brightness. Several noteworthy features of these nanotags are discussed here.

**DNA Nanotag Assembly.** DNA has found numerous applications in nanoscience and nanotechnology largely because it is a versatile construction material that can be designed and assembled into intricate, three-dimensional nanostructures. Our strategy took advantage of the structural regularity of the DNA double helix to organize and orient multiple chromophores through self-assembly and noncovalent interactions. One of the primary advantages of our system is based on the simplicity of its design. Watson–Crick base pairing was used to assemble a well-defined DNA nanostructure, such as the 3WJ illustrated in Figure 1. Then, a fluorogenic intercalating dye was added to the solution and each DNA structure bound multiple dyes, thereby producing dye-DNA complexes with large light-harvesting capabilities and very high fluorescence intensities.

A number of DNA-based “polynuors” have been reported. Kool and co-workers connect various fluorophores directly to
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the deoxyribose sugar, replacing the nucleobase.9–11 Elaboration into phosphoramidites or triphosphates allows solid-phase chemical synthesis or enzymatic synthesis to produce DNA oligomers having multiple attached fluorophores. (As noted above, the use of planar aromatic dyes allows formation of fluorescent excimers and exciplexes, avoiding the self-quenching effects normally observed when placing dyes in contact.) Alternatively, Luo and co-workers reported the synthesis of fluorescent DNA “nanobarcodes” in which individual DNA strands are labeled with single fluorophores.15 Assembly into higher-order structures such as 3WJs or higher-order dendritic structures yields fluorescent labels having several covalently attached dyes.

Our DNA nanotags offer unprecedented densities of fluorescent dyes and therefore the potential for extremely bright fluorescent labels. We rely on intercalation of dyes between DNA base pairs, a binding mode that was first proposed over 40 years ago by Lerman.27 The geometrical constraints of the DNA backbone and the structural distortion imposed by creating an intercalation site strongly disfavors cointercalation of a second dye at the same site or even at a directly adjacent site. This “neighbor-exclusion” effect results in saturation of the DNA helix at roughly one intercalator for every two base pairs.28 This equates to 1.4 dye molecules per nm of DNA helix, a very high density and, as described above, the intervening base pairs prevent the dyes from quenching one another. Moreover, the brightness of the nanotags increases with the number of base pairs in the DNA template, as shown clearly in Figure 10, where several different DNA nanotags were used to label microspheres.

There is a symbiotic relationship between the DNA 3WJ and the intercalating dyes. The higher affinity of the dyes for double-stranded versus single-stranded DNA results in stabilization of the 3WJ against thermal denaturation (Figure 2). Meanwhile, intercalation into the DNA dramatically increases the fluorescence quantum yield of the dyes. This is because the constraints imposed by the stacked base pairs suppress the torsional motion about the methine bridge that is responsible for nonradiative decay of the dye excited-state in fluid solution.47 In practice, the use of such fluorogenic dyes is important for a noncovalent system, because unbound dyes do not contribute background fluorescence (unless they are able to bind to another component in the sample, as shown in Figure 11).

**FRET in DNA Nanotags.** Because of the close proximity between dyes in multichromophore arrays, efficient FRET processes are to be expected in the absence of self-quenching. In biological arrays such as phycobiliproteins, the main purpose of the array is to broaden the action spectrum for photosynthetic reaction centers. Light is harvested by the array and then funneled by FRET to the reaction center where the excitation energy is used to promote electron-transfer chemistry.18 In our synthetic arrays, FRET is used to promote wavelength shifting, meaning the emission wavelength is well resolved from the excitation wavelength, to minimize interference of the excitation source with the detector.

We demonstrated efficient FRET in two different classes of nanotags (Figure 4). In the first case, donor and acceptor dyes are co-intercalated into the same DNA 3WJ. The results shown in Figure 5 illustrate that the YO donor is readily quenched by TO–PRO-3 acceptor dyes. In this system, an excited YO donor can transfer energy directly to a TO acceptor, but it is also possible that the excitation energy can migrate among several YO dyes before ultimately reaching a lower energy TO acceptor. Time-resolved fluorescence experiments will help delineate the energy migration and transfer pathways in these multichromophore arrays.

The co-intercalated nanotags are analogous to dye-loaded polymer microspheres (or “beads”) that are widely used in flow cytometric diagnostics.21 In the beads, dyes are suspended in polymers such as polystyrene, and the inclusion of two different dyes within the same bead leads to FRET. Fine-tuning of the FRET efficiency is achieved by varying the ratio of the two dyes and the beads are extremely bright by virtue of having many dyes bound within. This concept was recently extended to dye mixtures embedded in silica nanoparticles.23 The DNA nanotags reported here similarly concentrate fluorescent dyes while also providing discrete binding sites that preclude self-quenching. In addition, in both fluorescent beads and DNA nanotags, addition of acceptor dyes to enhance FRET requires removal of donor dyes, leading to a sacrifice in brightness.

The second FRET format shown in Figure 4 involves covalently attached acceptor dyes such as Cy3 and WellRed.
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**Figure 11.** Fluorescence microscope images of CD3 + mouse T-cells labeled with biotinylated anti-CD3-antibody and phycoerythrin-streptavidin conjugate (left) or streptavidin + biotinylated 3WJ/YOYO-1/Cy3 DNA labels (right).
D2. This format does not require removal of any donor dyes so there is no loss of extinction coefficient at the excitation wavelength. The results shown in Figure 6 illustrate that addition of only two Cy3 dyes to a 3WJ having ca. 15 intercalated donors results in greater than 90% FRET. Similarly, three WellRed D2 acceptors also exhibit 90% FRET efficiency. This illustrates an important feature of DNA nanotags: donor and acceptor groups need not have large spectral overlap to observe efficient energy transfer. While FRET pairs are usually chosen based on their overlap, if the donor and acceptor can be positioned in close proximity, even poor overlap such as that between YOYO-1 and WellRed D2 ($R_o = 20$ Å) can be tolerated. (Similarly, a donor having a low fluorescence quantum yield can still give efficient FRET if the distance separating the donor and acceptor is short.) The ability to localize donor and acceptor groups within 10 Å of one another without allowing contact quenching to occur is a significant advantage of DNA nanotags.

The YOYO-1/WellRed D2 pair also illustrates the potential for wavelength shifting in DNA nanotags (Figure 7). The intercalators were excited at 440 nm and emission from WellRed D2 was observed at 783 nm. Because of the close distance between the donor and acceptor dyes that can be achieved in our arrays, even greater wavelength shifts should be possible for dyes that exhibit even modest spectral overlap.

Applications and Outlook. The results shown here demonstrate the utility of the fluorescent DNA nanotags as labels for both flow cytometry and confocal microscopy. The oxazole yellow dyes used to give the nanotags their fluorescence have fairly broad emission profiles. While this could complicate detection of differently labeled particles or cells using different channels, the data shown in Figure 9 illustrate that two distinct microsphere populations can be easily distinguished using a single excitation wavelength and a single detector channel. This discrimination occurs because the fluorescence intensity of the labels at a given wavelength is readily tuned by FRET.

There is great interest in studying chemical and biochemical reactions at the level of individual molecules. These experiments typically rely on fluorescent labels for tracking the reactions, so the high brightness of our DNA nanotags immediately suggests applications in single molecule experiments, although it will be important to evaluate the basic photophysical properties of these arrays (e.g., photobleaching, blinking). Perhaps even more importantly, higher affinity dye-DNA interactions will need to be utilized to prevent dissociation of the dyes under the extremely dilute conditions employed at the single molecule level. While the ease of assembly for these supramolecular arrays is appealing, it will be important to develop covalently attached analogues to fully exploit the potential of these fluorescent labels for applications that require low concentrations and/or where alternative binding sites for the dyes are present in the sample, as in the microscopy experiment shown in Figure 11. A recent report by Carell and co-workers illustrates an attractive route to this goal involving “click” cycloaddition chemistry to attach dye-azides to alkyny-functionalized DNA. Finally, DNA nanostructures including tetrahedra, octahedra, and even more complex objects offer the possibility of assembling hundreds of fluorescent dye molecules within a single DNA template that is less than 10 nm in diameter. Such objects would be more than 10-fold brighter than phycobiliproteins.

Methods

Materials. DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (www.idtdna.com) as lyophilized powders. Unmodified and 5'-biotinylated oligonucleotides were purified by gel-filtration chromatography while Cy3-, WellRed D2-, and Fluorescein-labeled oligonucleotides were purified by HPLC. Sequences for the DNA strands were:

- **DNA 3WJ**
  - **S1:** 5'-GGTGCGAGCGGAGCTCC-3'
  - **S2:** 5'-GGATGTCGCGAGTTGACC-3'
  - **S3:** 5'-GGTCAACTCTTCTCGACC-3'
  - **DNA 4WJ**
    - **S1:** 5'-GGTGGCGAGCGGAGCTCC-3'
    - **S2:** 5'-GGATGTCGCGAGTTGACC-3'
    - **S3:** 5'-GGATGTCGCGAGTTGACC-3'
    - **S4:** 5'-GGTCAACTCTTCTCGACC-3'

-Bis-3WJ
  - **Slex:** 5'-GGTGCGAGCGGAGCTCCCGAGGCCGACAT-3'
  - **S2:** 5'-GGATGTCGCGAGTTGACC-3'
  - **S3:** 5'-GGTCAACTCTTCTCGACC-3'

When needed, oligonucleotides were purchased with biotin conjugated to the 5'-end of **S1**, **S2 com**, and **Slex**, Cy3 conjugated to the 5'-end of **S2** and/or **S3**, and WellRed D2 conjugated to the 5'-end of **S1**, **S2**, and/or **S3**. Intercalating dyes YO-PRO-1 and YOYO-1 were purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). R-Phycocerythrin-conjugated streptavidin was purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc. (West Grove, PA). Streptavidin polystyrene beads (2 μm) were purchased from Spherotech, Inc. (Libertyville, IL). Bacteria were purchased from Marlin (Indianapolis, IN).

Assembly and Characterization of Fluorescent DNA Nanostructures. Each DNA 3WJ nanostructure consisted of three mutually complementary oligonucleotides. DNA solutions were prepared in 10 mM aqueous sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) and stored at −4 °C. Concentrations were determined by UV absorbance at 260 nm using a Varian Cary 3 Bio UV−vis spectrophotometer. Equal concentrations of each strand were used and the DNA strands were annealed to 25 °C in 100 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM sodium phosphate, and 0.1 mM EDTA at pH = 7.0. After hybridization, the 3WJ structures (30 bp) were stained with saturating concentrations of YO−PRO-1 (1 dye/2 bp) or YOYO-1 (1 dye/4bp). Dye concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using the following molar absorptivities (in DNA) ε_{520} = 52 000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for YO−PRO-1 and ε_{590} = 98 900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for YOYO-1. Melting curves were measured by monitoring the absorbance at 260 nm while the temperature was increased at a rate of 1 °C/min.

Fluorescence Measurements. All fluorescence measurements were taken on a Photon Technologies International fluorimeter. For titrations, solutions of unmodified 3WJ nanostructures (1.0 μM for YO−PRO-1 titrations and 0.1 μM for YOYO-1 titrations) were prepared and titrated with 0.1 μM aliquots of YO−PRO-1 or YOYO-1. In bulk FRET
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experiments, in which both the donor and the acceptor are intercalating dyes all binding sites in the DNA 3WJ (1.0 μM nanostructure) were saturated. The different ratios of YO-PRO-1/YOYO-1 and TO-PRO-3 used were 150:1, 4:1:1, 2:1:1, and 1:2:1. After the addition of monointercalating dye to the DNA nanostructures, samples were incubated at room temperature for 10 min. For bulk FRET experiments with a covalently attached acceptor (0.1 μM nanostructure), a saturating concentration of YOYO-1 (1 dye/4 bp) was added to Cy3-labeled, Well Red D2-labeled, and unlabeled 3WJ nanostructures (30 bp). After the addition of bis-intercalating dye to the DNA nanostructures, samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. All FRET samples were excited at 440 nm and the fluorescence intensity was measured from 450 to 750 nm. TO-PRO-3 was also directly excited at 610 nm in experiments in which both the donor and acceptor were intercalating dyes. The bandpass for both the excitation and emission monochromators were 4 nm. The FRET efficiency (E) was measured based on the relative fluorescence intensity of the donor (YO-PRO-1/YOYO-1) in the presence (F_D0) and absence (F_D) of the acceptor:

\[ E = 1 - \frac{F_D}{F_D0} \]

Simulated FRET spectra for the co-intercalator experiments (Figure 5) were calculated as follows: normalized fluorescence spectra for a donor and acceptor are available on the Invitrogen website (www.probes.com). The TO-PRO-3 reference spectrum was multiplied by a factor of 0.25 to account for the lower fluorescence quantum yield of TO-PRO-3 (φo = 0.11) relative to YO-PRO-1 (φo = 0.44). The percent quenching of the YO-PRO-1 emission in the presence of a certain fraction of TO-PRO-3 was used to scale the TO-PRO-3 emission spectrum. For example, for 20% quenching of YO-PRO-1, the TO-PRO-3 emission spectrum would be multiplied by a factor of 0.2. Meanwhile, the YO-PRO-1 reference spectrum was multiplied by a factor to account for the quenching due to the TO-PRO-3. In the example above, this factor would be 0.8 (due to 20% quenching). The resulting YO-PRO-1 and TO-PRO-3 spectra were then added and plotted as shown in Figure 5B.

Microbead Labeling with DNA Nanostructures. For all bead labeling experiments, DNA nanostructures contained a single biotinylated strand (2WJ/S2-com, 3WJ/S1, 4WJ/S1, and bis-3WJ/S1-ex). Saturating amounts of YO-PRO-1 (1 dye/2 bp) and YOYO-1 (1 dye/4bp) were added to respective hybridized 2WJ (20 bp), 3WJ (30 bp), 4WJ (40 bp), and bis-3WJ (60 bp) samples, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. A 5.0 μg sample of streptavidin polystyrene beads (0.5% w/v) were suspended in 50 μL of PBS (calcium and magnesium free) containing 0.02% Triton X-100. Uniform labeling of streptavidin beads was achieved by incubating beads (10^6 beads/50 μL) with 2.5 picomoles of biotinylated 3WJ assemblies for 30 min. The beads then were washed 2x with 200 μL of FACS buffer (PBS containing 0.02% Triton X-100). Samples were resuspended in 0.7 mL of FACS buffer.

Simultaneous detection of distinct bead populations was achieved by mixing two different samples of beads previously labeled with fluorescent 3WJ nanostructures. Individual labeled beads were analyzed by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) using a Coulter Epix Elite flow cytometer (Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA) equipped with a 488 nm argon-ion laser. The following dichroic lenses (DL) and bandpass (BP) filters were used: 500DL \( \lambda \) 488BP (PMT1), 550DL \( \lambda \) 525BP (PMT2), and 600DL \( \lambda \) 575BP (PMT3). For detection of YO-PRO-1, YOYO-1 and fluorescein (green emission) fluorescence was monitored in the PMT2 “donor” channel and for detection of Cy3 (orange emission) fluorescence was monitored in the PMT3 “acceptor” channel.

Labeling Mouse T-Cell Populations with a Biotinylated Antibody. Lymphocytes were isolated from the spleens of BALB/C mice. Cells were counted and were nonspecifically blocked with anticomplement nonspecific Fc-blocker (CD32/CD16, Fe322.402, BD Biosciences). Samples of 10^6 cells in 50 μL of 1% calf serum PBS (calcium and magnesium free) were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C with 1 μg of biotinylated antihuman hamster CD3 (Caltag Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Cells were washed 3x with 2.0 mL of PBS containing 1.0% serum and were suspended in 50 μL of PBS.

Fluorescent Staining of T-Cells Labeled with Biotinylated CD3. Cells labeled with biotinylated antihuman hamster CD3 were labeled with either R-phycocerythrin-conjugated streptavidin or fluorescent biotinylated 3WJ nanostructures. A 5.0 μg sample of R-phycocerythrin was used to stain biotinylated T-cells and the cells were stained for 30 min. A two-step staining protocol was used to label cells with DNA nanostructures. First, 1.5 μg of streptavidin was added to cells and after 20 min, cells were washed again with PBS containing 1.0% serum and suspended in 50 μL of PBS. Separately, 3WJ DNA (30 bp) nanostructures were hybridized containing a single biotinylated strand and were incubated with saturating concentrations of YOYO-1 (1 dye/4 bp). Cells were then stained for 30 min with 10 μM fluorescent DNA label. All fluorescently stained cell suspensions were washed, suspended in 1.0 mL of PBS, and analyzed by FACS.

Fluorescence Imaging of Labeled T-Cells. A Solamer Spinning Confocal Microscope (Solamer Technology Group, Salt Lake City, UT) mounted on an Olympus IX50 (Olympus, Melville, NY) inverted microscope was used for fluorescence microscopy analysis. Samples were prepared according to the fluorescent staining protocol outlined above, except after the final wash cells were suspended in 200 μL of PBS. Approximately 5 μL of concentrated cell suspension was added onto a glass slide and excited with a 488 nm argon-ion laser. Images were obtained at 60-fold magnification (UPlanFL Olympus objective) and cells were photographed with a photometric Cool Snap HQ Camera (Roper Scientific, Trenton, NJ).
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